

EECS 507: Introduction to Embedded Systems Research

Wireless Communication and Power Consumption

Robert Dick

University of Michigan

Outline

1. Action items
2. Communication reliability and energy consumption
3. Wireless communication standards

Action items

1 Oct: E. Ronen, A. Shamir, A.-O. Weingarten, and C. O'Flynn, "IoT goes nuclear: Creating a ZigBee chain reaction," in *Proc. Symp. on Security and Privacy*, May 2017.

3 Oct: K. Mekki, E. Bajic, F. Chaxel, and F. Meyer, "A comparative study of LPWAN technologies for large-scale IoT deployment," *Elsevier ICT Express*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–7, Mar. 2019.

10 Oct: Midterm exam.

Context

Wireless (sensor) networks / IoT.

Reliability.

Transition to efficient embedded machine learning portion of course.

Outline

1. Action items
2. Communication reliability and energy consumption
3. Wireless communication standards

Communication reliability

Cannot rely on wireless communication.

Failure rates of 10% are common in practice.

Can compensate.

- Forward error correction: computationally expensive.
- Error detection with retransmission: introduces timing variation.

Power values for Crossbow MICAz

Description	Power
Mote radio transmitting	$3.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ W}$
Mote CPU active	$2.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ W}$
Mote CPU sleeping	$3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ W}$
Primary sensor and DAQ	$5.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W}$

Roughly 16 k-words/s transfer for 16-bit words.

Some retransmissions required.

8 M-words/s computation for 16-bit words.

Transmit-compute energy ratio $\geq 625\times$.

Communication energy model types

Ideal: No energy or time cost. Almost never useful.

Quantity-based: Energy cost per bit. Useful only if receive power considered.

Distance based: $\propto k + d^\alpha$ where generally $2 \leq \alpha \leq 6$. Surprisingly misleading if k ignored.

For a single transmitter, common for short-range to have similar energy to long-range.

Large variation across transmitter types.

Implications of inappropriate models

Much research on multihop to reduce energy.

- Energy superlinear in distance so . . .
- take more (linear increase) shorter (superlinear decrease) hops.
- Reduces net energy.
- . . . but it doesn't.

Even the shortest hop for a particular transceiver often has an energy cost similar to the longest.

Outline

1. Action items
2. Communication reliability and energy consumption
3. Wireless communication standards

Wireless communication standards

Technology	Power (mW)	Range (m)	Typical rate (kb/s)
4G	1,000	70,000	10,000
5G	1,000	40,000	100,000
WiFi / 802.11x	250	140	20,000
Zigbee / 802.15.4	1–100	10–1,500	20–200
LoRaWAN	10	15,000	20
NB-IoT	100	15,000	250

Great variation in power, range, and data rate.

Efficiency commonly between 1/100 and 1/2, depending on data rate and encoding.

Many other LPWAN technologies.

Timing synchronization

Sleep everything, including wireless interface.

Will miss transmissions by other nodes.

Can use timer to wake up at same time.

Synchronization?

Compression and aggregation

Reduce amount of transmitted data to reduce energy cost.

Can tolerate 100–10,000 instructions per transmitted word, depending on environment.

In-network: Exploit similarities in data from region to reduce transmitted data.

Can aggregate data in field to reduce energy cost.

Antenna environment


Antennas designed for particular operating environment.

Putting them next to conductive planes changes their behavior.

Transceivers adapt to wireless channel conditions.

Motion: constantly changing conditions make this difficult.

Antenna environment



Credit to Lukasz Januszkiewicz, "Analysis of Human Body Shadowing Effect on Wireless Sensor Networks Operating in the 2.4 GHz Band," *Sensors*, Oct. 2018.